

# Agriculture and The Credit Crisis

Publication Series  
Department of Agricultural Economics  
Texas A&M University System

2008-2

## Price Risk Management in the Midst of a Credit Crisis

Mark Welch, Steve Amosson, John Robinson, and Larry Falconer\*

Commodity producers and users seeking to reduce risk associated with price changes often participate either directly or indirectly in futures markets. This is accomplished directly through hedging with futures contracts or buying options on futures contracts. Producers who lock in prices with cash forward contracts participate in futures markets indirectly. Elevators and merchants who offer such contracts usually transfer the price risk of that contract to end users or speculators by hedging in the futures market.

The unprecedented volatility of many commodity markets the past year resulted in some suspension of cash forward contracting. Hedgers lacked the cash reserves or credit arrangements to maintain margin requirements as prices soared. Many farmers wanting to lock in prices with their elevator or merchant at these historic levels were then left without an

important marketing tool.

The present credit crisis impacting the U.S. and world economy may have implications for price risk management in the months ahead. Commodity prices, while much lower than the highs seen in the past year, remain volatile. One likely outcome of the current economic climate will be tighter access to credit and increased interest rates. This at a time when producers are facing rising input costs requiring expanded lines of credit to continue operations at current levels of production.

Credit restrictions will make it more difficult for hedgers to finance and maintain margin calls. This would again severely limit the offering of cash forward contracts. If contracts are offered, they are likely to include a weaker basis (difference between the local cash price and the futures price) or other fees or risk premiums tied to the contract. According to Matt Pierce of Futures International, "Until credit institutions can get their ducks in a row, they are not going to be able to extend credit for margin hedges or any kind of speculative hedges that want to come

into our market" (McGinnis and Caldwell, 2008).

Farmers could hedge their crops with futures contracts on their own, but there are two major drawbacks to doing so now.

First, margin requirements are expensive and significant deposits will likely be required. This will strain budgets and divert capital resources from other uses. Second, while no one knows what future prices will be, the current market decline may be overdone. If economic conditions stabilize or improve, the current level of prices may be seen as relatively low over the course of the crop year. If and when the fund sector takes another large long position in agricultural futures, a large and unpredictable spike up in prices could repeat the damage that cotton merchants experienced in March 2008: forced liquidity of futures positions, only to face large subsequent price declines.

For producers who want to avoid margin calls and are concerned that they are pricing too soon or at prices that are too low, options on futures contracts offer a better alternative.

\* The authors are all economists with Texas AgriLife Extension Service, part of the Texas A&M University System.

While futures contract hedges are best suited to times when prices are seen as choppy or moving in a sideways trend, options are best when:

1. the market is characterized by major and sustained price trends, where significant margin calls can be involved; and
2. when there is a problem arranging for and maintaining and financing a margin account (Purcell, 1991).

But trading options comes with costs as well. Options in markets that are volatile will come at a much higher premium than stable markets. In addition, options may be seen as an eroding investment, in that the time value of the premium will decline as the option approaches maturity.

As producers become more familiar with options-based marketing, they can use more sophisticated trading techniques to offset some of the drawbacks inherent with options. Hybrid trading strategies that buy or sell additional puts or calls in addition to the basic price protection provided by the purchase of a single option can lower the net amount paid for option premiums while still limiting exposure to margin

calls. Such strategies are often referred to as windows or spreads. They are more complex than straightforward hedging strategies and often establish price ceilings as well as price floors. Other combinations of option strategies become profitable if prices move significantly either up or down but not if prices remain stable (called straddles).

Options create a form of price insurance. The higher the price insured, the greater the premium. If prices increase, the price insurance is not needed and the producer lets the option expire and sells at the higher price. If prices decline, the producer exercises his right to sell at the guaranteed price established by the option contract. Of course, the producer may also sell any option he has previously purchased prior to the expiration date. The profit or loss from this trade is the difference between the premium you paid for the option and the premium you receive when you sell it, less commissions, interest, and any other associated fees.

Crop insurance products can also provide substantial security to farm income and are a vital part of overall risk management. Revenue protection products like Crop Revenue Coverage (CRC) insure against a decline in market prices as well as production losses. This policy guarantees a certain level of crop revenue based on price and yield. It uses for revenue calculations the higher of an early-season price or the harvest price. The early season price for hard red winter wheat in the Texas panhandle for the 2008/2009 crop is \$8.77 per

bushel. An indemnity is paid when actual crop revenue falls below the insured level of crop revenue. Feed grain and cotton growers should be paying attention for the calculation and announcement of the CRC early season price for row crops.

Agricultural producers today are faced with volatile markets, tight credit, economic uncertainty, and escalating input costs. Understanding and utilizing risk management tools in this environment can reduce much of the price risk associated with this situation and may improve financial returns. At a time when the price guarantees of the farm bill are far below current prices and/or costs of production for many crops, farmers can proactively weave together strategies that create an effective safety net suited to their particular situation.

## References

McGinnis, Mike and Jeff Caldwell. "Bailout Fails: what it means to the grain trade", Agriculture Online, September 29, 2008. Available at <http://www.agriculture.com>.

Purcell, Wayne D. Agricultural Futures and Options, New York (Macmillan Publishing Company), 1991.

***For more information on this topic, the following Risk Management Publications are available at:***  
<http://agecoext.tamu.edu/resources/library/risk-management-curriculum-guide.html>:

"Introduction to Futures Markets"

"Hedging with a Put Option"

"Introduction to Options"

"The Window Strategy with Options"

"Using a Bull Call Spread"

"Selling Hedge with Futures"

"Using a Bear Put Spread"

"Crop Revenue Coverage (CRC)"

Visit Texas AgriLife Extension Service at <http://AgriLifeExtension.tamu.edu>.

Educational programs of the Texas AgriLife Extension Service are open to all people without regard to race, color, sex, disability, religion, age, or national origin. Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension Work in Agriculture and Home Economics, Acts of Congress of May 8, 1914, as amended, and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the United States Department of Agriculture. Edward G. Smith, Director, Texas AgriLife Extension Service, The Texas A&M System.