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Master Marketer Highlights
Season’s Greetings!
In keeping spirit with the holiday season and being thankful for the many opportunities we have been provided, Master Marketer would like to give a Texas-Sized Thank You to the 2015 Master Marketer sponsors. With their support, we have taught workshops year-round to bring you the latest in market news and provide up-to-date trends while using current data and connecting professionals throughout the field. We could not have had this successful run without the continued support from our sponsors. Along with Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service, funded grants have been provided by USDA-RMA, Texas Corn Producers, Texas Farm Bureau, Cotton State Support Committee-Texas, Texas Wheat Producers Board, and Texas Grain Sorghum Board.

Master Marketer Educational System
Registration is open and The Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service is bringing their 2016 Master Marketer Program to Abilene (Texas Education Agency Region 14 Education Service Center, 1850 Highway 351). The 64-hour Master Marketer Program provides intensive marketing training on cotton, grains, and livestock and will have sessions on January 19-21, February 4-5, February 17-18, and March 2-3. Registrations will be accepted for up to 50 participants.

For more information on the program and registration, visit http://agriliferegister.tamu.edu/ and search “Master Marketer” or call (979) 845-8011.

Red River Crops Conference
The Red River Crops Conference is set for January 20-21, 2016 at the Southwest Technology Center, 711 West Tamarack Road in Altus, Oklahoma. The program will run from 8am – 5pm both days and has a $25 registration fee. Topics will focus on cotton, in-season and summer crops and include market outlooks, weather forecasts, variety and specialty crops, and treatment options.

More information can be found by calling Stan Bevers at (940) 552-9941 ext 231 or by visiting http://agrisk.tamu.edu/event/red-river-crops-conference-2/

FARM Assistance Update
Steven Klose,
Associate Professor and Extension Economist,
Department of Agricultural Economics,
Texas A&M University

The FARM Assistance program has now been providing strategic planning services for 18 years. We have conducted over 2200 individualized analyses for farmers and ranchers across Texas. Repeated client feedback suggests that participants find three main benefits of the FARM Assistance analysis. First is the ability to evaluate the general financial outlook for their operation, answering the question: “Am I headed in the right direction?” Second is the ability to compare the likely outcomes of significant changes to their operation. And finally, many people describe an improved ability to communicate their plans with managing partners, spouses, family, bankers, etc.

In response to specific evaluation questions, 34 clients over the last 3 years indicate:
97% would recommend the program to another producer.
94% gained a better understanding of the financial aspects of their operation.
94% are better equipped to evaluate financial risks and impacts of alternative decisions.
97% believe that their management ability improved to the benefit of their bottom-line.
On average, participants expect a $25,313 annual benefit from their participation.

Three individuals shared the following thoughts:
“This is a very enlightening course. I will have a much better chance of succeeding with my farm.”

“The FARM Assist Program is a very convenient economical tool to study the business side of a farm operation. It helps give confidence to one’s various decisions. Without this tool it would be difficult to timely obtain the same info.”

“We do not complete the strategic plan for the year until [we update] our Farm Assistance plan.”

The agriculture industry is constantly changing. Keep a careful eye on the financial road ahead of you by checking out what our current clients have already discovered. Give us a call at 1 (877) TAMRISK or learn more at www.farmassistance.tamu.edu
As 2015 draws to a close, I thought it would be interesting to take a look back at the top agricultural law developments this year. From “Waters of the United States” to drones to GMOs to the United States Supreme Court, 2015 has been a busy year for agricultural law. Here are the highlights.

“Waters of the United States” regulation finalized, then stayed. Probably the most watched agricultural law issue of 2015 was the Environmental Protection Agency’s and US Corps of Engineers’ new regulation defining “waters of the United States” pursuant to the Clean Water Act. The agencies solicited public comment in 2014 and issued their final rule in May. Numerous lawsuits followed challenging the scope of the rule and the procedure used in adopting the rule. Currently, these suits are pending in nine federal courts across the country. In October, the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit issued a nationwide stay on the rule until the lawsuits can be considered. Shortly thereafter, the EPA’s motion to consolidate all of the pending cases into one action before the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, was denied. Although Congress considered bills seeking to overturn the new rule, in November the Senate’s version of the bill failed to pass. Thus, for now, the new rule is not in force and litigation continues.

Idaho “ag gag” statute declared unconstitutional. An Idaho federal judge issued the first legal ruling on the constitutionality of a law prohibiting secret filming of farm operations (commonly referred to as “ag gag laws”). The purpose of such laws, argue proponents, is to protect the safety and privacy of farm families, employees, and animals from animal activist groups infiltrating operations. Opponents, on the other hand, claim that the laws encourage animal abuse and infringe upon free speech rights. The court agreed with the challengers, finding that the Idaho law violated both the First Amendment and Equal Protection Clause. It is unclear at this time whether Idaho will appeal the court’s decision. Several other states, including North Dakota, Montana, Kansas, Utah, Iowa, and Missouri have similar laws. The impact of the Idaho court’s decision on laws in other jurisdiction remains to be seen, but is concerning to proponents of such laws.

Lesser Prairie Chicken listing under Endangered Species Act vacated. In September, a federal judge in the Western District of Texas found that the US Fish and Wildlife Service failed to follow their own rules when listing the lesser prairie chicken as “threatened” under the Endangered Species Act. Specifically, the USFWS failed to adequately take into consideration voluntary conservation plans entered into by landowners prior to the listing decision. Although the USFWS has not yet filed an appeal with the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit, it is expected that one will be forthcoming. For now, however, the federal protections for the bird have been removed.

RCRA “solid waste” provision applied to manure. Earlier this year, a Washington federal court found, for the first time, that manure met the definition of “solid waste” under the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act. The case involved the alleged improper storage and application of manure by dairies in Washington state. The result of the application of RCRA to manure is that federal requirements under the Act would apply. Shortly after this decision, the parties settled the case, entering into a consent decree under which the dairies would undertake several steps to prevent manure contamination of groundwater. Whether this same decision will be made by other courts across the country is unclear. At least one dairy in California has reportedly been served with an intent to sue under RCRA. Producers should certainly be concerned that lawsuits under RCRA are a possibility and ensure proper handling, storage, and application of manure.

Corn producers and agribusinesses file suits against Syngenta. After shipments of US corn were rejected by China due to the presence of a genetically modified Syngenta variety, MIR-162, several agribusinesses and corn producers across the country brought suit against Syngenta. The lawsuits, the vast majority of which have been consolidated in multi-district litigation, generally allege that Syngenta’s selling of the seed in the US without Chinese approval caused a decline in the corn market due to the rejections. Syngenta, on the other hand, argues that the seed was approved for use in the United States, China was not a major purchaser of US corn, and there
is no evidence of causation between the MIR-162 seed and the decline in corn prices. Recently, Syngenta filed another suit against grain traders like Cargill and ADM, claiming that if there is any liability to corn farmers, the traders should bear the burden of a judgment. The lawsuits remain pending.

**EPA revokes approval for suloxaflor and Enlist Duo.** Just a few weeks ago, the EPA announced it would revoke approval for suloxaflor (active ingredient in Transform) and withdraw its approval for the Enlist Duo. Both were a result of litigation filed by environmental groups challenging the approval of these products. Dow Chemical has stated it is confident that the EPA’s concerns can be addressed quickly and adequately.

**Draft drone regulations published by FAA.** The Federal Aviation Administration published much anticipated draft regulations related to drone use in the United States. The regulations cover a variety of issues including operator qualifications, height, and visual requirements for drone operation. These regulations, when finalized and enacted, will allow commercial drone use in the United States. Currently drones may only be used for recreational or hobby use. Any commercial use of a drone requires a permit from the FAA. The on-line tool is designed so that producers can enter their own yield, cost and insurance information, and run their own simulation analysis to determine the cost-effectiveness of treatment in their specific situation.

**AQHA cloning suit reversed, registration not required.** After losing at the trial court level, the American Quarter Horse Association scored a major victory on appeal when the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit found that the AQHA rule banning registration of cloned horses or their offspring did not violate the law. The trial jury found that the AQHA policy prohibiting registration of clones violated the Sherman Anti-trust Act. Earlier this year, however, the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals reversed.

**GMO production bans and labeling issues considered.** The buzz over genetically modified organisms (GMOs) continued to increase during 2015. Several legal issues have arisen. Chief among these is a legal challenge to a Vermont law that will require labeling of all products containing GMO ingredients by 2016. Numerous plaintiffs, led by the Grocery Manufacturers Association, filed suit claiming the law violates the First Amendment, the Commerce Clause, and is pre-empted by federal law. In April, the court issued a ruling primarily in favor of Vermont, which is now on appeal. Although Connecticut and Maine have also passed such labeling laws, only the Vermont law has a set effective date, while the other states laws will become effective only once certain trigger conditions are met. Another case arose in Hawaii, where a federal judge struck down a local law prohibiting farmers from growing any GMO crops in Maui County. Specifically, the court found this local law was pre-empted by state and federal laws on the topic.

**Choice Website**
*Mark Waller, Joe Outlaw, Mark Welch, Levi Russell, Larry Falconer, Kurt Guidry, and Nathan Smith*

Department of Agricultural Economics, Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service, Texas A&M University

Over the last 30 months, Extension Economists and industry participants have been involved in an applied research project looking at the economics of aflatoxin risk management. Over the last 18 months, the project has been expanded to include Texas, Louisiana, Mississippi, and Georgia. The project is partially funded by the Southern Extension Risk Management Education Center. Aflatoxin contamination has been a perennial problem for Texas and other Southern corn producers, merchandisers and end-users. However, in recent years producers have begun using a new technology (atroxigenics), as a way to potentially reduce aflatoxin contamination levels in the corn they are producing.

agecoext.tamu.edu/resources/aflatoxin/

Visitors to the website can learn more about the economics of aflatoxin risk management from the Extension Fact Sheets and links to video presentations on the website. An on-line decision tool has been developed and a link is available on the website also. The on-line tool is designed so that producers can enter their own yield, cost and insurance information, and run their own simulation analysis to determine the cost-effectiveness of treatment in their specific situation.